marice F



State of Utah DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

Dee C. Hansen
Executive Director
R. Nielson, Ph.D.
Division Director

S55 West North Temple
3 Triad Center, Suite 350
Salt Lake City, Utah 84180-1203
801-538-5340

August 24, 1988

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED P 001 717 587

Mr. Nathan Atwood Co-op Mining Company P. O. Box 1245 Huntington, Utah 84528

Dear Mr. Atwood:

Re: Finalized Assessment for State Violation No. N88-20-1-1, ACT/015/025, Folder #5, Emery County, Utah

The civil penalty for the above-referenced violation has been finalized. This assessment has been finalized as a result of a review of all pertinent data and facts including those presented in the assessment conference by you or your representative and the Division of Oil, Gas and Mining inspector.

Within fifteen (15) days of your receipt of this letter, you or your agent may make a written appeal to the Board of Oil, Gas and Mining. To do so, you must have escrowed the assessed civil penalty with the Division within a maximum of thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter, but in all cases prior to the Board Hearing. Failure to comply with this requirement will result in a waiver of your right of further recourse.

If no timely appeal is made, this assessed civil penalty must be tendered within thirty (30) days of your receipt of this letter. Please remit payment to the Division, mail % Vicki Bailey at the address listed above.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

Alan S. Bachman

Assessment Conference Officer

jb

cc: J. Kathmann, OSM AFO

0644Q-7

WORKSHEET FOR FINAL ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES UTAH DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

	COMPANY/MINE <u>Co-op Mining Company</u> PERMIT # <u>ACT/015/025</u>			NOV # <u>N88-20-1-1</u> VIOLATION <u>1</u> OF 1	
Assessment Date Assessment Officer _A				Alan S. Bachman	
acc	Natu <u>ordan</u>	re of ce wi	violation: <u>Failure</u> th approved plan.	to monitor surface	and ground water in
	Date	of to	ermination: Not applicable	- No abatement pos	ssible, too late
				Proposed <u>Assessment</u>	Final <u>Assessment</u>
	(1)	Histo	ory/Prev. Vio.	7	7
	(2)	Seriousness			
		(a)	Probability of Occurrence	0	0
			Extent of Damage	0	0
		(b)	Hindrance to Enforcement	20	13
	(3)	Negl	igence .	25	15
	(4)	Good	Faith TOTAL	0 52	0 35
				TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	\$500.00

3. Narrative:

(Brief explanation for any changes made in assignment of points and any additional information that was available after the proposed assessment.)

Proposed assessment was a little excessive in regard to degree of hinderance and negligence. Evidence established an average degree of hinderance and negligence.